

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/516,546 | 12/02/2004 | Han Leng Paxton Tan | SG 020011 | 5711 | |
| 65913 NXP, B, V, | 7590 09/18/200 | 08 | EXAMINER | | |
| NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | HU, RUI MENG | | |
| M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKA | Y DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SAN JOSE, CA 95131 | | | 2618 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/18/2008 | FLECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------|----------------------|--|
| | 10/516,546 | TAN, HAN LENG PAXTON | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | RuiMeng Hu | 2618 | |

| | RuiMeng Hu | 2618 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED on 08/15/2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API | | - | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectio | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 26(a) and the appropriate | o ovtonoion foo |
| Extensions of limit rilay be duranted united 37 CFR.1.136(a). The dubt have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth (in CFR) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any semed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in better (c) The not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | I be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Edward Urban/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618 | | | |
| | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Consider claim 1, the newly amended limitations "wherein immediately after receiving said FM signal meeting said criteria, testing the FM signal a predetermined number of times" raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.